

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: May 15-16, 2019

From: SUSAN BRANSEN, Executive Director

Reference Number: 4.21, Action

Prepared By: Anja Aulenbacher,
Assistant Deputy Director

Published Date: May 3, 2019

Subject: **Adoption of the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component – 10 of 10 Large Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Resolution G-19-12**

Issue:

Should the California Transportation Commission (Commission) adopt the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component – 10 of 10 large Metropolitan Planning Organizations?

Recommendation:

Commission staff recommends that the Commission:

1. Adopt the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component for Fresno Council of Governments (FCOG), Kern Council of Governments (KCOG), Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Sacramento Council of Governments (SACOG), San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG), Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), Stanislaus Council of Governments (StanCOG), Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization (TMPO) and Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) in accordance with the attached resolution and programming spreadsheet. Commission staff recommendations correspond with the Metropolitan Planning Organization recommendations.
2. Authorize Commission staff to make any specific technical changes, corrections or exceptions to Commission staff recommendations, with a report of any substantive changes back to the Commission for approval at a subsequent Commission meeting.

Background:

In summary, Commission staff recommends that the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component funds totaling \$174,885,000 for all ten large Metropolitan Planning Organizations be programmed to 59 projects valued at \$331,474,000.

- \$165,147,000 (94 percent of \$174,885,000) to 53 projects benefiting disadvantaged communities.
- \$95,599,000 (55 percent of \$174,885,000) to 40 Safe Routes to School projects.
- 31 of the 59 projects are designated for state only funding.

The Commission's adoption of the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component is not authorization to begin work on a project. Contracts may not be awarded nor may work begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program.

On September 26, 2013, the Governor signed legislation creating the Active Transportation Program (Senate Bill 99, Chapter 359 and Assembly Bill 101, Chapter 354). Senate Bill 1, signed by the Governor on April 28, 2017, directs an additional \$100 million annually from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account to the Active Transportation Program beginning in Fiscal Year 2017-18. Per legislation, a minimum of 25 percent of all 2019 Active Transportation Program funds must benefit disadvantaged communities.

The Commission adopted the Fund Estimate and the program guidelines for the 2019 Active Transportation Program on May 16, 2018. The 2019 Active Transportation Program includes four years of programming, 2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22, and 2022-23, with \$445,560,000 in funding capacity for the following program components:

- Statewide (50 percent or \$218,780,000)
- Small Urban & Rural (10 percent or \$43,756,000)
- Metropolitan Planning Organizations (40 percent or \$175,024,000)
- California Conservation Corps (\$8,000,000)

The Commission staff recommendations are based on consistency with the Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization competitive program project selection criteria set forth in the 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines (Section 19, Metropolitan Planning Organization Competitive Project Selection) and the following:

- Funding levels identified in the 2019 Active Transportation Program Fund Estimate.
- Eligibility for the program.
- Metropolitan Planning Organization multidisciplinary advisory group scores.
- Statutory requirements.

The Commission adopted the 2019 Active Transportation Program Statewide and Small Urban and Rural Components on January 30, 2019. Projects not programmed in the Statewide competitive component were distributed to the ten large Metropolitan Planning Organizations based on location.

Metropolitan Planning Organization Evaluation Process

All applications considered by the ten large Metropolitan Planning Organizations were submitted through the statewide competitive program. Per the 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines, a Metropolitan Planning Organization may delegate its project selection to the Commission using the statewide competition project selection criteria; or, with Commission approval, a Metropolitan Planning Organization may use different project selection criteria, weighting, minimum project size, and match requirement.

The Commission approved amendments to the 2019 Active Transportation Program guidelines allowing different project selection criteria for the following Metropolitan Planning Organizations: Fresno Council of Governments, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, Sacramento Area Council of Governments, San Diego Association of Governments, Southern California Association of Governments, Tulare County Association of Governments, and Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization.

The Kern Council of Governments, Stanislaus Council of Governments, and the San Joaquin Council of Governments did not propose regional 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines.

Metropolitan Planning Organization Program of Projects - Examples

The Metropolitan Planning Organization program recommendations include active transportation projects that will provide significant benefits. Examples include:

Fresno Council of Governments

- City of Fresno – Connecting the Winchell Neighborhood, Butler/8th and Orange/Lowe Signals Project will install two traffic signals, pedestrian countdown equipment, sidewalks, curb ramps, and gutters. The City has been working to improve active transportation facilities in this neighborhood for the last several years as part of the "Restore Fresno" effort which aims to improve health, safety and infrastructure through close collaboration with the community. This project will result in improved safety for non-motorized users so that they can travel by foot, bike, or mobility device comfortably and reliably, and more parents will allow their children to walk to school.
- Fresno County – West Park Pedestrian Pathway Project will develop a four-foot wide asphalt concrete walking and biking path between the community of West Park and the West Park Elementary School. The path will provide a safe active transportation route; benefits will include decreased risk of traffic-related injuries, increased active

transportation usage, reduction in greenhouse gas emissions through reduced reliance on motorized vehicles, and increased sense of place for the community.

Kern Council of Governments

- City of Bakersfield – Friant-Kern Canal Multi-Use Path Project will construct a six-mile Class I multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal within a 25-foot easement. The Project creates a regional active transportation corridor along the Friant-Kern Canal that connects to an existing east/west multi-use path (32 miles) along the Kern River Parkway. The project substantially transforms the active transportation network in Bakersfield and adds regional connectivity throughout Kern County.

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

- San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency – 6th Street Pedestrian Safety Project will substantially improve safety and livability in San Francisco's most dense and diverse neighborhood. The project includes sidewalk widening, upgraded traffic signals, improved signal timing, reduced crossing distances, new pedestrian-scale lighting, and landscaping/street furniture upgrades. This project will transform 6th Street and reduce the high numbers of pedestrian collisions and injuries.
- City of San Jose – Willow-Keyes Complete Street Improvements Project will enhance safety for people walking and biking by constructing complete street elements such as a Class IV protected bike lane, new sidewalk, and curb extensions. The improvements will provide continuous, safe, and comfortable facilities for people of all ages and abilities. Pedestrians and bicyclists will benefit from a safe, calm, comfortable roadway, encouraging more walking and biking.

Sacramento Council of Governments

- City of Sacramento – Broadway Complete Streets Project - Phase 2 will complete the two-mile-long corridor mobility improvements which aim to rebalance space for all modes on the Broadway corridor by reducing the number of travel lanes, installing bike facilities, and constructing pedestrian improvements. This project closes a critical gap in the bikeway network and improves pedestrian facilities, which will make active transportation and transit a more attractive and viable option.
- Yuba County – Cedar Lane Elementary Safe Routes to Schools Project will provide safe bicycle and pedestrian access to Cedar Lane Elementary School, transit facilities, and downtown Linda. The project promotes active transportation, decreases vehicle speeds, decreases the number of motorized vehicle trips, increases bicycle and pedestrian trips, improves air quality, and improves public health. The project will also provide pedestrian and bicycle education for parents and students from educators at WALKSacramento.

San Diego Association of Governments

- San Diego Association of Governments – University Bikeway Project consists of a road diet, removal of free-right slip lanes, separated bike lanes, buffered bike lanes, protected intersections, signal-protected crossings, new/enhanced pedestrian crossings, curb extensions, speed cushions, bikeway markings, and other high-visibility treatments. Expected benefits include a dramatic drop in the level of traffic stress, reduced speeds, increased protection from vehicle traffic, increased crossings, shorter crossings, greater visibility, and traffic calming.
- City of Escondido – Escondido Creek Trail Transit Center Bicycle Path Improvements Project will increase active transportation connectivity by improving approximately 1.6 miles of underutilized Class I bike trail and close several bike/pedestrian gaps along the trail. This project will add lighting, pedestrian signals, crosswalks, ramps, and signage to two intersections.

San Joaquin Council of Governments

- San Joaquin County – Oro Avenue and Section Avenue Sidewalk Improvements Project will install sidewalks, curbs, and gutters on approximately 1,900 feet of Oro Avenue, as well as approximately 1,100 feet of Section Avenue. Seven new curb ramps will be installed, allowing easier access for those walking or using wheeled apparatus. The addition of sidewalks, curb ramps, and a beacon in this area addresses a need to connect students to nearby schools and community members to transit stops, stores, markets and childcare facilities.
- City of Stockton – Safe Routes to School Sidewalk Gap Closure Project will install curbs, gutters, and sidewalks to close sidewalk gaps along routes to schools surrounding five school sites. Minimum five feet wide sidewalks, bulb-outs, pedestrian lighting, school signage, compliant ADA curb ramps, and where necessary, a pedestrian gate and high visibility crosswalks will be installed to provide protection and increase safety for students walking to school. The anticipated benefit of this project is an increase in safety for students which will lead to an increase in the number of students who use these new or upgraded facilities. Additionally, the City anticipates an improvement to public health and reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.

Southern California Association of Governments

- City of Ontario – Pedestrian Improvements around Haynes, Vista Grande, and Oaks Schools Project will complete a pedestrian network by installing missing sidewalks, replacement ADA curb ramps, truncated domes on existing ADA curb ramps, street lights, enhanced crosswalks, and shade trees. In addition, an education and encouragement non-infrastructure component is included to encourage children to walk to school. Upon completion of the project, residents will have improved pedestrian access to local schools, parks, commercial centers, and transit stops, and

programs to encourage behavior change resulting in more residents walking to local destinations and walking for the positive health benefits.

- Los Angeles Bureau of Street Services (Engineering Division) – Broadway-Manchester Active Transportation Equity Project will construct a separated, Class IV cycle track, continental crosswalks, a center median pedestrian refuge island, sidewalk improvements, curb extensions, upgraded access ramps, pedestrian signals, and more. Each of these measures will work to slow speeding vehicles on the corridor and increase pedestrian and bicyclist safety. The project benefits include enhanced safety and mobility for active transportation users, a rise in trips taken by pedestrians and bicyclists and an increase in public health outcomes for residents due to an increase in active transportation activities.

Stanislaus Council of Governments

- Stanislaus County – Bret Harte Elementary Safe Crossing and Active Transportation Connectivity Project will install new sidewalks, Class III bicycle routes, and bike racks. Improvements created by this project to help support student safety to and from Bret Harte Elementary School and Evelyn Hanshaw Middle School include high visibility crosswalks adjacent to the school site, and various safety improvements and enhancements which create an overall improved bicycle and pedestrian network throughout the neighborhood. This project will result in a safer environment for students and community members, increase access to various local destinations, improve safety, and provide greater regional access.

Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization

- City of South Lake Tahoe – Lake Tahoe Boulevard Class I Bicycle Trail Project will construct a lit Class I bike trail that will parallel Lake Tahoe Boulevard, allowing commuters and recreational users to travel between the city and county areas without ever leaving the safety of a Class I bike path. The benefits of this project include closing a trail gap, completing a safe route to the South Tahoe High School, and providing a connection to a transit center and various shopping areas.

Tulare County Association of Governments

- City of Woodlake – North Valencia Boulevard Safe Routes to School Extension, Gap Improvements Project will extend previously built improvements and close a gap on North Valencia. The improvements include curbs, gutters, sidewalks, ADA compliant ramps, street lighting, crosswalks, and Class II bike lanes along North Valencia Boulevard. This project benefits students and the community at large by providing much needed infrastructure in a disadvantaged community, creating a safer active transportation corridor, and increasing walking and biking. Additional benefits will be healthier citizens, cleaner management of storm water, and reduction in emissions.

Programming Recommendations

The following table summarizes the proposed programming recommendations for the ten Metropolitan Planning Organizations (dollar amounts are in \$1,000's):

Metropolitan Planning Organization	# Proj.	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	Total	Fund Est. Target	Under (Over) Target
FCOG	9	\$392	\$1,584	\$450	\$2,346	\$4,772	\$4,772	\$0
KCOG	1	\$0	\$4,306	\$0	\$0	\$4,306	\$4,306	\$0
MTC	6	\$12,987	\$10,757	\$0	\$12,926	\$36,670	\$36,670	\$0
SACOG	7	\$3,427	\$3,335	\$4,902	\$0	\$11,664	\$11,666	\$2
SANDAG	4	\$1,381	\$747	\$8,561	\$5,185	\$15,874	\$15,874	\$0
SCAG	25	\$20,331	\$20,896	\$22,198	\$29,147	\$92,572	\$92,572	\$0
SJCOG	2	\$565	\$745	\$1,184	\$1,020	\$3,514	\$3,514	\$0
StanCOG	2	\$99	\$0	\$0	\$2,402	\$2,501	\$2,638	\$137
TCAG	2	\$980	\$263	\$0	\$1,025	\$2,268	\$2,268	\$0
TMPO	1	\$0	\$744	\$0	\$0	\$744	\$744	\$0
Totals:	59	\$40,162	\$43,377	\$37,295	\$54,051	\$174,885	\$175,024	\$139
Cumulative Fund Estimate Capacity		\$38,400	\$38,400	\$49,112	\$49,112	\$175,024		
Cumulative Under (Over) Fund Estimate		\$(1,762)	\$(4,977)	\$11,817	\$(4,939)	\$139		

The following table shows the amount of funding per Metropolitan Planning Organization that benefits disadvantaged communities (dollar amounts are in \$1,000's):

Metropolitan Planning Organization	# DAC Projects	Total DAC Projects Programmed	Fund Est. Target	Under (Over) Target
FCOG	9	\$4,772	\$1,194	\$(3,578)
KCOG	1	\$4,306	\$1,076	\$(3,230)
MTC	6	\$36,670	\$9,166	\$(27,504)
SACOG	3	\$8,270	\$2,916	\$(5,354)
SANDAG	4	\$15,874	\$3,970	\$(11,904)
SCAG	23	\$86,228	\$23,144	\$(63,084)
SJCOG	2	\$3,514	\$880	\$(2,634)
StanCOG	2	\$2,501	\$660	\$(1,841)
TCAG	2	\$2,268	\$566	\$(1,702)
TMPO	1	\$744	\$186	\$(558)
Totals:	53	\$165,147	\$43,758	\$(121,389)

Attachments:

- Attachment A: Resolution G-19-12
- Attachment B: 2019 Active Transportation Program – Metropolitan Planning Organization Component Project Recommendations
- Attachment C: 2019 Active Transportation Program – Metropolitan Planning Organization Submittals

**CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
Adoption of the 2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component**

RESOLUTION G-19-12

- 1.1 **WHEREAS**, Streets and Highways Code Section 2384 requires the California Transportation Commission (Commission) to adopt a program of projects to receive allocations under the Active Transportation Program; and
- 1.2 **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Section 2384, the 2019 Active Transportation Program is a four-year program covering program years 2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23; and
- 1.3 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines at the May 2018 meeting; and
- 1.4 **WHEREAS**, the 2019 Active Transportation Program Fund Estimate provided \$445,560,000 in Active Transportation Program programming capacity to be apportioned to the Statewide (50 percent), Small Urban and Rural (10 percent) and Metropolitan Planning Organization (40 percent) Components and the California Conservation Corps (\$8,000,000); and
- 1.5 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted the 2019 Active Transportation Program Statewide and Small Urban and Rural Components at the January 2019 meeting; and
- 1.6 **WHEREAS**, the total amount programmed may not exceed the amount specified in the adopted Fund Estimate; and
- 1.7 **WHEREAS**, the Commission staff recommendations conform to the Fund Estimate and other requirements of statute for the Active Transportation Program; and
- 1.8 **WHEREAS**, the Metropolitan Planning Organizations have designated projects to receive state only funding.

- 2.1 **NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Commission hereby adopts the 2019 Active Transportation Program, Metropolitan Planning Organization Component (10 of 10 Metropolitan Planning Organizations), to include the program described in the Commission staff recommendations, including the attachments to this resolution; and
- 2.2 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that having a project included in the adopted 2019 Active Transportation Program, Metropolitan Planning Organization Component, is not authorization to begin work on that project. Contracts may not be awarded, nor work begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program; and
- 2.3 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Metropolitan Planning Organizations have designated projects to receive state only funding and if a Metropolitan Planning Organization wishes to change the designation to another project, they must notify the Commission and the California Department of Transportation's

(Department) Active Transportation Program office of a previously designated state only funded project that will now receive federal funding. In addition, all amendments to the state only funding designation must be made prior to an allocation request for that project; and

- 2.4 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if available funding is less than assumed in the Fund Estimate, the Commission may be forced to delay or restrict allocations using interim allocation plans, or, if available funding proves to be greater than assumed, it may be possible to allocate funding to some projects earlier than the year programmed; and
- 2.5 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that Commission staff, in consultation with the Department and regional agencies, is authorized to make further technical changes in cost, schedules, and descriptions for projects in the 2019 Active Transportation Program – Metropolitan Planning Organization Component, consistent with the Fund Estimate, in order to reflect the most current information, or to clarify the Commission’s programming commitments, with report of any substantive changes back to the Commission for approval at a subsequent meeting.

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
FCOG	6-Fresno-1	Fresno	Connecting the Winchell Neighborhood, Butler/8th and Orange/Lowe Signals	\$ 1,251	\$ 1,108	\$ 87	\$ 31	-	\$ 990	\$ 1	\$ 86	\$ 31	\$ 990	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	89.75	86
FCOG	6-Fresno-4*	Fresno	Pathway to Play at Inspiration Park - Gettysburg/Polk Sidewalk/Signal	\$ 865	\$ 616	-	\$ 616	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 616	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	85.25	85
FCOG	6-Fresno-3*	Fresno	Southeast Fresno Cycle Track, First from Tulare to Ventura/Hazelwood	\$ 902	\$ 258	-	\$ 258	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 258	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	83	73
FCOG	6-Fresno County-1	Fresno	West Park Pedestrian Pathway	\$ 548	\$ 548	-	\$ 98	\$ 450	-	\$ 20	\$ 78	-	\$ 450	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	76.67	77
FCOG	6-Fresno-7*	Fresno	Pathway to Play at Calwa Park - Barton/Florence Sidewalks	\$ 361	\$ 288	\$ 17	\$ 79	-	\$ 192	\$ 1	\$ 16	\$ 79	\$ 192	-		Infrastructure - S	X		76	66
FCOG	6-Fresno-5	Fresno	Robinson Elementary Crossing Improvements	\$ 660	\$ 584	\$ 45	\$ 35	-	\$ 504	\$ 2	\$ 43	\$ 35	\$ 504	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	74	81
FCOG	6-Fresno-2*	Fresno	Mckenzie Trail Crossing Improvements	\$ 422	\$ 374	\$ 32	\$ 342	-	-	\$ 2	\$ 30	-	\$ 342	-		Infrastructure - S	X		73.75	73
FCOG	6-Fresno-6*	Fresno	Maple Avenue Cycle Track and Pedestrian Scramble	\$ 182	\$ 111	\$ 111	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 111	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	70.5	85
FCOG	6-Coalinga-1**	Fresno	Coalinga Perimeter Multi-Use Trail	\$ 952	\$ 885	\$ 100	\$ 125	-	\$ 660	\$ 100	\$ 65	\$ 60	\$ 660	-		Infrastructure - S	X		69.8	69
KCOG	6-Bakersfield-1	Kern	Friant-Kern Canal Multi-Use Path	\$ 8,200	\$ 4,306	-	-	-	\$ 4,306	-	-	-	\$ 4,306	-		Infrastructure-L	X		87	87
MTC	4-Alameda County TC-2	Alameda	Alameda County School Travel Opportunities Program	\$ 4,178	\$ 3,761	\$ 3,761	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 3,761	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	99	71
MTC	4-San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency-1	San Francisco	6th Street Pedestrian Safety Project	\$ 19,761	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 6,000	-	X	Infrastructure - L	X		92	81
MTC	4-Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District (SMART)-2 **	Sonoma	SMART Pathway- Santa Rosa-Rohnert Park and Penngrove Segments	\$ 13,802	\$ 12,574	\$ 1,817	\$ 10,757	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,817	\$ 12,574	-		Infrastructure - L	X	X	92	80
MTC	4-San Jose-1 *	Santa Clara	Willow-Keyes Complete Streets Improvements	\$ 19,649	\$ 12,926	-	-	-	\$ 12,926	-	-	-	\$ 12,926	-		Infrastructure - L	X	X	91	82
MTC	4-Albany-2	Alameda	Ohlone Greenway Trail Safety Improvements	\$ 665	\$ 410	\$ 410	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 410	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	88	74
MTC	4-Alameda County Public Works Department-12	Alameda	Active and Safe Oakland	\$ 999	\$ 999	\$ 999	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 999	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	87	84

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
SACOG	3-Sacramento-2	Sacramento	Franklin Boulevard Complete Street Phase 2	\$ 12,591	\$ 1,880	\$ 1,880	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,000	\$ 880	-	-	-	Infrastructure - L	X		90	88
SACOG	3-Sacramento-1	Sacramento	Broadway Complete Streets Project - Phase 2	\$ 4,886	\$ 3,661	\$ 550	\$ 3,111	-	-	-	\$ 550	-	\$ 3,111	-	-	Infrastructure - M	X		84	86
SACOG	3-Sacramento County-4	Sacramento	Fern Bacon Middle School SRTS Improvements	\$ 990	\$ 872	-	\$ 224	\$ 648	-	-	\$ 83	\$ 141	\$ 598	\$ 50	X	Infrastructure + NI - S		X	81	85
SACOG	3-San Juan Unified School District-1	Sacramento	Three Steps to Safer Routes for Students	\$ 441	\$ 397	\$ 397	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 397	X	Non-Infrastructure		X	78	77
SACOG	3-Yuba County-2	Yuba	Cedar Lane Elementary SRTS Project	\$ 3,079	\$ 2,729	-	-	\$ 2,729	-	-	-	-	\$ 2,700	\$ 29	X	Infrastructure + NI - M	X	X	77	65
SACOG	3-Woodland-1	Yolo	W. Gibson Road SRTS Project	\$ 5,239	\$ 600	\$ 600	-	-	-	\$ 250	\$ 350	-	-	-	X	Infrastructure - M		X	77	74.5
SACOG	3-Citrus Heights-1	Sacramento	Auburn Boulevard Complete Streets – Phase 2	\$ 17,225	\$ 1,525	-	-	\$ 1,525	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,512	\$ 13		Infrastructure + NI - L		X	76	69
SANDAG	11-San Diego Association of Governments-4	San Diego	GObyBIKE San Diego: Education and Encouragement Start-Up Program	\$ 1,967	\$ 1,381	\$ 1,381	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,381		Non-Infrastructure	X		659	72
SANDAG	11-Escondido-1	San Diego	Escondido Creek Trail Transit Center Bicycle Path Improvements Project	\$ 827	\$ 747	-	\$ 747	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 747	-		Infrastructure - S	X		626.4	75
SANDAG	11-San Diego Association of Governments-1	San Diego	University Bikeway	\$ 16,825	\$ 8,561	-	-	\$ 8,561	-	-	-	-	\$ 8,561	-		Infrastructure - L	X		611.52	79
SANDAG	11-National City-1	San Diego	8th Street and Roosevelt Ave. Active Transportation Corridor, National City	\$ 6,991	\$ 5,185	-	-	-	\$ 5,185	-	-	-	\$ 5,185	-		Infrastructure - M	X		604.64	85
SJCOG	10-San Joaquin County-1	San Joaquin	Oro Avenue & Section Avenue Sidewalk Improvements	\$ 1,439	\$ 1,439	\$ 75	\$ 180	\$ 1,184	-	\$ 75	\$ 180	-	\$ 1,184	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	86.8	87
SJCOG	10-Stockton-4	San Joaquin	SRTS Sidewalk Gap Closure***	\$ 5,982	\$ 2,075	\$ 490	\$ 565	-	\$ 1,020	\$ 490	\$ 452	\$ 113	\$ 1,020	-		Infrastructure - M	X	X	85.2	85
SCAG	11-Imperial County-2	Imperial	Heffernan Avenue from 14th Street to 10th Street	\$ 727	\$ 642	\$ 87	\$ 44	\$ 511	-	\$ 8	\$ 79	\$ 44	\$ 511	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	91	71
SCAG	7-Huntington Park-1	Los Angeles	Huntington Park Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety and Connectivity Project	\$ 4,650	\$ 4,117	\$ 58	-	\$ 288	\$ 3,771	\$ 58	\$ 288	-	\$ 3,771	-	X	Infrastructure - M	X		99	89
SCAG	7-LA Bureau of Street Services (Engineering Division)-8	Los Angeles	Broadway-Manchester Active Transportation Equity Project	\$ 46,600	\$ 24,821	\$ 4,000	-	\$ 1,200	\$ 19,621	\$ 4,000	\$ 1,200	-	\$ 19,621	-		Infrastructure - L	X	X	99	89

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
SCAG	7-Los Angeles Department of Public Works (Bureau of Engineering)-7	Los Angeles	LA River Greenway, West San Fernando Valley Gap Closure	\$ 51,822	\$ 18,793	\$ 900		\$ 17,893	-		\$ 500	\$ 400	\$ 17,893	-	X	Infrastructure - L	X		99	89
SCAG	7-LA County Department of Public Works-3	Los Angeles	East LA Active Transportation Education and Encouragement Program	\$ 747	\$ 500	\$ 500								\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X		89	84
SCAG	12-Costa Mesa-1	Orange	Merrimac Way Multipurpose Street, Sidewalk and Bicycle Facility Project	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,105	\$ 1,105							\$ 1,105		X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	107	87
SCAG	12-Santa Ana-10	Orange	McFadden Avenue Protected Bike Lane and Bicycle Boulevard Project	\$ 6,999	\$ 6,999	\$ 1,124	\$ 5,875			\$ 102	\$ 1,022		\$ 5,875			Infrastructure - M	X		101	81
SCAG	12-Santa Ana-14	Orange	Standard Avenue Protected Bike Lane and Protected Intersection Project	\$ 6,666	\$ 6,666	\$ 1,222	\$ 5,444			\$ 122	\$ 1,100		\$ 5,444			Infrastructure - M	X		99.5	80.5
SCAG	12-Orange County Transportation Authority-2	Orange	Safe Travels Education Program (STEP) Campaign	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500								\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	94	74
SCAG	8-City of Palm Desert-1	Riverside	San Pablo Avenue Improvements from Fred Waring to Magnesia Falls	\$ 4,503	\$ 3,222	\$ 3,222							\$ 3,222		X	Infrastructure - M	X	X	106	86
SCAG	8-Riverside County Department of Public Health (Injury Prevention Services)-2	Riverside	Riverside County SRTS, Corona	\$ 580	\$ 325		\$ 325							\$ 325	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	86	86
SCAG	8-Riverside County Transportation Department-2	Riverside	El Toro Road-Dexter Avenue SRTS Sidewalk Project	\$ 2,311	\$ 2,311	\$ 50	\$ 410		\$ 1,851	\$ 50	\$ 330	\$ 80	\$ 1,763	\$ 88	X	Infrastructure + NI - M	X	X	87	77
SCAG	8-Lake Elsinore-3	Riverside	Murrieta Creek Multi-Use Trail - Palomar Trail to Lake Trail	\$ 5,079	\$ 5,079	\$ 365	\$ 350	\$ 460	\$ 3,904	\$ 365	\$ 350	\$ 460	\$ 3,904		X	Infrastructure - M			86	76
SCAG	8-Riverside County Department of Public Health (Injury Prevention Services)-3	Riverside	Riverside County SRTS Program, Desert Hot Springs	\$ 610	\$ 500		\$ 500							\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	87	87
SCAG	8-Fontana-2	San Bernardino	Fontana SRTS Gap Closure	\$ 1,477	\$ 1,477	\$ 223	\$ 1,254			\$ 12	\$ 124	\$ 87	\$ 1,254		X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	108	88
SCAG	8-Rialto-3	San Bernardino	Terra Vista Drive Neighborhood SRTS Infrastructure Implementation	\$ 663	\$ 663	\$ 20	\$ 60	\$ 583		\$ 20	\$ 60		\$ 583		X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	107	87
SCAG	8-Twenty-nine Palms-1	San Bernardino	Twenty-nine Palms SRTS Infrastructure Implementation Grant	\$ 1,467	\$ 1,467	\$ 153	\$ 51	\$ 1,263		\$ 153	\$ 51		\$ 1,263			Infrastructure - S	X	X	107	87
SCAG	8-Rialto-1	San Bernardino	Safe Routes for Active Play, Work, and Live Rialto!	\$ 549	\$ 549	\$ 549								\$ 549	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	106	86
SCAG	8-Ontario-1	San Bernardino	Pedestrian Improvements around Haynes, Vista Grande and Oaks Schools	\$ 6,998	\$ 5,764	\$ 841	\$ 4,923					\$ 841	\$ 4,767	\$ 156	X	Infrastructure + NI - M	X	X	104	84

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
SCAG	8-San Bernardino Association of Government-2	San Bernardino	San Bernardino County SRTS Program	\$ 1,053	\$ 500	\$ 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	103	83
SCAG	SCAG	Various	SCAG 2019 Local Demonstration Initiative	\$ 2,599	\$ 2,599	\$ 2,599	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 2,599	X	Non-Infrastructure	X		N/A	N/A
SCAG	7-Ventura-1	Ventura	Active Transportation Mobility Plan	\$ 950	\$ 950	\$ 950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 950	X	Plan	X	X	88	68
SCAG	7-Oxnard-2	Ventura	Oxnard Boulevard Bikeway Gap Closure	\$ 860	\$ 860	\$ 98	\$ 762	-	-	-	\$ 98	-	\$ 762	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	83	63
SCAG	7-Ventura County-1	Ventura	Potrero Road Bike Lane Improvements – Phase 2	\$ 1,515	\$ 1,265	\$ 1,265	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,265	-	X	Infrastructure - S			78	68
SCAG	7-Thousand Oaks-1	Ventura	Los Feliz Sidewalk Phase 2	\$ 1,651	\$ 898	-	\$ 898	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 898	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	76	56
StanCOG	10-Stanislaus County-2	Stanislaus	Bret Harte Elementary Safe Crossing and Active Transportation Connectivity Project	\$ 3,005	\$ 2,402	-	-	-	\$ 2,402	-	-	-	\$ 2,380	\$ 22		Infrastructure +NI-M	X	X	86	86
StanCOG	10-Patterson-1	Stanislaus	Patterson - Citywide Active Transportation Plan	\$ 99	\$ 99	\$ 99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 99	X	Plan	X	X	79	79
TMPO	3-South Lake Tahoe-1	El Dorado	Lake Tahoe Boulevard Class I Bicycle Trail	\$ 3,025	\$ 744	-	\$ 744	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 744	-		Infrastructure - M	X	X	288.25	68
TCAG	6-Woodlake-1	Tulare	North Valencia Boulevard SRTS Extension, Gap Improvements	\$ 1,204	\$ 980	\$ 980	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 980	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	100	77
TCAG	6-Tulare County-11	Tulare	County of Tulare: Road 160 Sidewalk Improvements, Ivanhoe	\$ 1,575	\$ 1,288	-	\$ 263	-	\$ 1,025	-	-	\$ 263	\$ 1,025	-		Infrastructure - M	X	X	96	80
			Totals	\$ 313,663	\$ 174,885	\$ 40,162	\$ 39,071	\$ 37,295	\$ 58,357											
* San Jose requested \$16,538 however \$12,926 was available for funding, a difference of \$3,612 remains.																				
* SMART requested \$27,498 for five segments; however \$12,574 is recommended for two segments due to lack of funds.																				
***SRTS Sidewalk Gap Closure requested \$5,384,000, however only \$2,075,000 of programming capacity remains. SJCOG Staff will work with the agency to ensure a fully funded project.																				